



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.       | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 10/723,032   | 11/26/2003  | Corey J. Lawson      | 132384IT/YOD<br>GEMS:0229 | 8862             |
| 68174  | 7590        | 09/11/2007           | EXAMINER                  |                  |
| GE HEALTHCARE<br>c/o FLETCHER YODER, PC<br>P.O. BOX 692289<br>HOUSTON, TX 77269-2289 |             |                      | GETZOW, SCOTT M           |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT                  | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 3762                      |                  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE                 |                  |
|  |             |                      | 09/11/2007                | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | PAPER                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                              |                        |                     |  |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                              | 10/723,032             | LAWSON ET AL.       |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                              | /Scott M. Getzow/      | 3762                |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 7-11 and 24-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 7-10 and 26-39 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 11,24,25 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

|  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

Art Unit: 3762

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

1. Claims 11,24,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powers et al (6,360,120) in view of Lin et al (6,289,243).

Re claim 11, see previous office action. Re claim 24, the device of Powers is considered to suggest that the adapter itself is a memory support, which is also the case from applicant's figures. Further, even if the memory support were considered by applicant to be something other than the adapter, one of ordinary skill in the art would consider that some type of support is necessary to fix the memory to the cable. Re claim 25, the mere addition of another memory device to the combination above would not be unpredictable in <sup>its</sup> outcome. That is, one of ordinary skill in the art would know how to put another memory device on the lead, and the result of such combination would also be predictable. ('...a court must ask whether the improvement is more than the predictable use of prior art elements according to their established functions', in *KSR 82 USPQ1396*). A further memory device would allow for more or different types of data to be stored, or to serve as a backup in case the primary memory device failed.

2. Claims 11,24,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powers et al (6,360,120) in view of Hampele et al (5,713,927).

Re claim 11, see previous office action. Re claims 24,25, see rejection supra.

*Allowable Subject Matter*

3. Claims 7-10,26-39 are allowed.

Applicant's remarks have been considered, however the above rejections are still deemed to be proper. Re claims 11 and 24, one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that a memory device, such as shown in Powers, can be used to store a wide variety of data. Further, 'one of ordinary skill in the art is also a person of ordinary creativity and not an automaton', see *KSR* cited above. That is, one of ordinary skill would know how to configure the memory device in a predictable way using known techniques, if desired. In contrast, for example re claims 7 and 26, the cable identification data is considered to be positively set forth, and to be actually stored in the memory, not just capable of being stored in the memory.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3762

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Scott M. Getzow/ whose telephone number is (571) 272-4946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Scott M. Getzow/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3762

SMG